



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,080	12/15/2000	Gary A. Lenz	F12.12-0045	3258

7590 04/30/2002

Brian D. Kaul  
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY  
Suite 1600 - International Centre  
900 South Second Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER

BUTLER, MICHAEL E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3653

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/739,080

Applicant(s)  
Lenz et al.

Examiner  
Michael E. Butler

Group Art Unit  
3653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael E. Butler (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Brian Kaul (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Apr 12, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 33

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ No.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

re: independent claims 1, 33, A printing mechanism, a network adapter, in conjunction with a web server or a web client is deem so well known in the art as to be clearly anticipated within the art and as such was not seperately restrictable from the subcombinations in accord with 806.05(C) II.

The language of the species requirement is modified to:

1) an ID card personalization device have a web server for serving data;

2) an ID card personalization device having a web client for data.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Michael E. Butler*  
MICHAEL E. BUTLER  
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.